UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/520,700	01/07/2005	Ralf Neuhaus	2002P10203WOUS	5200	
Siemens Corpor	7590 03/19/200 ration	EXAMINER			
	perty Department	KIM, TAE K			
Iselin, NJ 08830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2153		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/520,700	NEUHAUS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TAE K. KIM	2153	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.	owable if submitted in a separate, t ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 12,13,15-19,23-25 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-11,14,20-22 and AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but		otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (condition for allowand	de pecause.
13. Other:	1 10/00/00) 1 aper 110(5).		
/Glenton Burgess/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2153			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument regarding the 102 rejection of dependent claims 14, 21, and 28, which have now been incorporated into independent claims 12, 18, and 25 are not persuasive. Applicant states that there is no suggestion in the reference that a third component is performing the same task as the disclosed file server. Rangarajan states that the file server may be any suitable typ of file server, including an FTP server, an HTTP server, and a Gopher server (Pg. 4, Lines 18-20). In a distributed network comprising of updating or downloading application files via an FTP or HTTP server on the Internet, it is inherent that there are multiple file servers distributed throughout various regions to implement Internet software distribution within the Rangarajan system. Furthermore, Rangarajan states that a local area network comprises of a number of client computers connected to one or more file servers (Pg. 3, Lines 2-3). Rangarajan anticipates that the software update can be downloaded from a third communication component, which, as argued by the Applicant, could be a second file server to the network.